| | | | | u | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| | 1 | EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California | | | |
| | 2 | Diann Šokoloff | | | |
| | 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General CAROL ROMEO | | | |
| | 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 124910 | | | |
| | 5 | 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550 | | | |
| | 6 | Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2141 | | | |
| | 7 | Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 Attorneys for Complainant | | | |
| | 8 | BEFORE THE | | | |
| | 9 | CALIFORNIA BOARD OF OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS | | | |
| | | STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | | |
| | 10 | | | | |
| | 11 | In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: | Case No. AL2009-85 | | |
| ٠ | 12 | LISA BAO NGO | | | |
| | 13 | 3030 Centerwood Way San Jose, California 95148 | STATEMENT OF ISSUES | | |
| | 14 | Respondent. | | | |
| | 15 | respondent. | | | |
| | 16 | | | | |
| | 17 | Complainant alleges: | | | |
| | 18 | <u>PARTIES</u> | | | |
| | 19 1. Heather Martin (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in he | | | | |
| | 20 | capacity as the Executive Officer of the California Board of Occupational Therapy, Department | | | |
| | 21 | of Consumer Affairs. | | | |
| | 22 | 2. On or about September 23, 2009, the California Board of Occupational Therapy, | | | |
| | 23 | Department of Consumer Affairs received an ap | plication for an Occupational Therapist License | | |
| | 24 | from Lisa Bao Ngo. On or about September 17, 2009, Lisa Bao Ngo (Respondent) certified | | | |
| | under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representation | | | | |
| | 26 | application. The Board denied the application on January 5, 2010. | | | |
| | 27 | | | | |
| | 28 | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | |

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the California Board of Occupational Therapy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws.

 All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 2570.26(a) of the Code states:
- "(a) The board may, after a hearing, deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation a license, certificate, inactive license, inactive certificate, or limited permit."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

5. Section 2570.28 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board may deny or discipline a licensee for any of the following:

- "(a) Unprofessional conduct, including, but not limited to, the following:
- "(e) Conviction of a crime or of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a licensee, in which event the record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof."
 - 6. Section 480 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- "(3)(A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.

28 | ///

"(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Unprofessional Conduct)

(Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 2570.28(a) and 480(a)(3))

- 7. Respondent has subjected her application for an occupational therapist license to denial for unprofessional conduct under Code sections 2570.28(a) and 480(a)(3). The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On January 25, 2008, in San Jose, California, Respondent went to West Star Insurance Agency to purchase an automobile insurance policy from Unitrin Speciality Insurance (Unitrin Specialty) for her 1996 Toyota 4 Runner. On that day, Respondent obtained from Unitrin Specialty automobile insurance policy No. FCIPP2607090. On January 26, 2008, Respondent made a claim on her Unitrin Specialty automobile insurance policy (Claim #500340717). In that claim, Respondent reported that on January 26, 2008, she was in an automobile accident when the vehicle she was driving, her 1996 Toyota 4 Runner, collided with a car being driven by Natty Medrano. In fact, the accident occurred the day before Respondent purchased the Unitrin Specialty policy.
- b. The January 24, 2008 accident occurred when Respondent, operating the 1996 Toyota 4 Runner, attempted to pull out of a Target parking lot in San Jose, California, but failed to stop before striking a vehicle in front of her that was being driven by Natty Medrano. Ms. Medrano's sister, Dina Miranda, was also in the car when the accident occurred. After the collision, Respondent told Ms. Medrano that she was insured and presented to Ms. Medrano the CSAA automobile insurance policy (#5F91322) for the 1996 Toyota 4 Runner. After the accident, Medrano contacted her insurance company, which was also CSAA, and made a claim (#01-KN2507-6) and advised them of the accident. Both Medrano and her sister, Dina Miranda, sought and obtained medical attention on the day of the accident.
- c. On January 24, 2008, the 1996 Toyota 4 Runner was owned by Respondent's uncle, Anh The Huynh. The vehicle's CSAA automobile insurance policy (#5F91322) had

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Runner to Respondent, which enabled her to purchase the Unitrin Specialty automobile insurance policy. Unitrin Specialty denied Respondent's claim after they found out that the accident occurred on January 24, 2008, rather than on January 26, 2008, as reported by Respondent. CSAA later discovered that Respondent did not have automobile insurance when the accident occurred. Under her CSAA uninsured motorist coverage, Ms. Medrano was paid \$1,630.47 to repair her vehicle and to reimburse her and her sister's medical expenses. Thereafter, under a subrogation claim settlement, Respondent agreed to pay CSAA \$1,175.60. On July 2, 2008, Respondent paid the full amount owed to CSAA of \$1,175.60.

The District Attorney's Office charged Respondent with violating Penal Code section 550(a)(1) (presenting false or fraudulent insurance claim), a felony. Respondent was later convicted of violating Penal Code section 550(b) (presenting false or misleading insurance claim) (see below).

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION (Conviction of Substantially Related Crime) Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 2570.28(e) and 480)

Respondent's application is subject to denial under Sections 2570.28(e) and 480 of 8. the Code in that she was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of an occupational therapist. Specifically, on or about July 10, 2009, in the Superior Court of the State of California, Santa Clara County, Case No. CC939001, entitled People of the State of California vs. Lisa Bao Ngo, Respondent was convicted by her plea of nolo contendere of violating Section 550(b) of the Penal Code (presenting false or misleading insurance claim), a misdemeanor. Respondent was placed on Formal Probation for three years upon terms and conditions, which included, but were not limited to: performing 100 hours volunteer work as directed by the Probation Office, and making restitution in the amount of \$650.00 to Unitrin Specialty.

/// 2.6

27 ///

28 ///

<u>PRAYER</u>

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the California Board of Occupational Therapy issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the application of Lisa Bao Ngo for an Occupational Therapist License;
- 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 18 May 2010

HEATHER MARTIN

Executive Officer

California Board of Occupational Therapy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SF2010200399

13 CR: 05/18/10